Subject: Re: LIST "bug": .Remove on an empty list
Posted by penteado on Fri, 17 Dec 2010 18:03:51 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Dec 17, 3:37 pm, David Fanning <n...@dfanning.com> wrote:
> Paulo Penteado writes:
>> And I find "if (~l) then ..." much more convenient than "if
>> (l.isempty()) then ...".
>
> IsEmpty has the value, of course, of letting you know what
> you were thinking months ago when you wrote the darn program. :-)

I would still say the same for the test of the object's truth value.
Other modern languages have used this for a while, and it is a common convention that empty containers are false if empty, true otherwise.