Subject: Re: !null values in arrays
Posted by on Thu, 16 Dec 2010 11:30:47 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Gray,

I see your point and agree to some extent. But I would also see the opposite arguments: what should then be the result of 3 * !NULL or 3 / !NULL ? Do you propose that !NULL is handled as a 0? This might often lead to more confusion, and for indexing purposes it is definitely not a 0.

The eventual advantage is probably not worth it...