

---

Subject: Re: FSC\_PLOT defaults

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Tue, 21 Dec 2010 16:37:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Wayne Landsman writes:

- > Yeah, the problem is that at least on my display the
- > THICK keywords don't work in fractional amounts.
- > XTHICK = 1.5 (which I would prefer) is the same as XTHICK=2.

Yes, I think in direct graphics, THICK will define the number of pixels used for the lines. By itself, this isn't too bad, but then the problem of no anti-aliasing raises its ugly head. Things start to look a little "blocky".

I've just tried this on a contour plot, and the contour line labels really start to bother me at this thickness.

I guess I am still preferring the original plots with their single line thickness. I mostly use the display for "quick looks" at the plot. Most of my output these days is really produced automatically from PostScript files and ImageMagick, which handles the anti-aliasing of text, etc. for me. Fine tuning, of course, is trivial in the PostScript file.

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

---