
Subject: Re: More efficient method of appending to arrays when using pointers?

Posted by [Matt Francis](#) on Tue, 04 Jan 2011 22:39:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Jan 5, 9:30 am, Gray <grayliketheco...@gmail.com> wrote:

> On Jan 4, 5:01 pm, Matt Francis <mattjamesfran...@gmail.com> wrote:

>

>

>

>> I have some code I've written that looks clunky and I was wondering if
>> there is a more efficient (faster and or using less memory) way to do
>> this.

>

>> I am using a custom object with a member self.foo which will end up
>> being a matrix, built up by appending arrays one at a time as I loop
>> over each step of a process. This update code currently looks like
>> this:

>

>> temp = [*(self.foo),next_array]

>> ptr_free,self.foo

>> self.free = ptr_new(temp)

>

>> This seems to be a bit wasteful in terms of how many times memory is
>> allocated and deallocated to get the job done. Something simple like

>

>> self.free = ptr_new([*(self.foo),next_array]

>

>> causes a memory leak due to the dangling pointer. I don't see how the
>> TEMPORARY function can be used here without causing a leak.

>

>> Any tips from the pros?

>

> Why mess about with ptr_new and ptr_free? Unnecessary.

>

> temp = [*self.foo,next_array]

> *self.foo = temp

>

> Or, the minimalist approach:

>

> *self.foo = [*self.foo,next_array]

Hmmm, I didn't expect that would work! Still keep forgetting IDL
'pointers' aren't really pointers and can do funny things (I'm a C++
programmer and this wouldn't work with a 'real' pointer).

Thanks for your help.
