
Subject: Re: n_elements and NaN

Posted by [Jeremy Bailin](#) on Thu, 27 Jan 2011 15:24:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Jan 26, 12:20 pm, Reimar Bauer <R.Ba...@fz-juelich.de> wrote:

> Am 26.01.2011 09:15, schrieb alx:

>

>> On 25 jan, 21:26, Reimar Bauer <R.Ba...@fz-juelich.de> wrote:

>>> Just recognized some fun with NaN or more arguments against NaN.

>

>>> n_elements haven't a NaN keyword

>

>>> Reimar

>

>> Why should it do ?

>> "N_elements(x)" is the old way for "(Size(x))[-1]" or "Size(x,/

>> N_ELEMENTS)".

>> What you want is "where(finite(x), COUNT=number_of_finite_elements,

>> NCOMP=number_of_nan)".

>> The two statements adress two different things: the x size and x

>> content.

>> alx.

>

> This is only a workaround. Until NaN is not completely supported we will

> always have risks to use it. Or having more complicated code as usually

> needed. If you for example expect only to have Long values you would

> never expect NaN numbers there and the data of type float.

>

> Reimar

I'm with alx on this - I would be very annoyed if n_elements looked at the contents of what it's given rather than just the syntactic structure (it would be **much** slower, for one thing!).

-Jeremy.
