
Subject: Re: n_elements and NaN

Posted by [lecacheux.alain](#) on Wed, 26 Jan 2011 08:15:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 25 jan, 21:26, Reimar Bauer <R.Ba...@fz-juelich.de> wrote:

> Just recognized some fun with NaN or more arguments against NaN.
>
> n_elements haven't a NaN keyword
>
> Reimar

Why should it do ?

"N_elements(x)" is the old way for "(Size(x))[-1]" or "Size(x,/ N_ELEMENTS)".

What you want is "where(finite(x), COUNT=number_of_finite_elements, NCOMP=number_of_nan)".

The two statements address two different things: the x size and x content.

alx.
