

---

Subject: Re: n\_elements and NaN

Posted by [lecacheux.alain](#) on Wed, 26 Jan 2011 08:15:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 25 jan, 21:26, Reimar Bauer <R.Ba...@fz-juelich.de> wrote:

> Just recognized some fun with NaN or more arguments against NaN.

>

> n\_elements haven't a NaN keyword

>

> Reimar

Why should it do ?

"N\_elements(x)" is the old way for "(Size(x))[-1]" or "Size(x,/N\_ELEMENTS)".

What you want is "where(finite(x), COUNT=number\_of\_finite\_elements, NCOMP=number\_of\_nan)".

The two statements adress two different things: the x size and x content.

alx.

---