
Subject: Re: str_sep bug

Posted by [thompson](#) on Tue, 26 Nov 1996 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Phil Williams <williams@irc.chmcc.org> writes:

> Sorry to answer my own question. BUT, after posting I searched the
> str_sep routine and think I located the bug. It's at line 122 of
> str_sep.pro. The line reads:

> else arr(i) = strmid(s, spos < strlen(spos) - 1, strlen(s))

> but should read:

> else arr(i) = strmid(s, spos-strlen(sep), strlen(s))

> I still think that there is a "bug." Although some would call it a
> feature? It's that the last element in the seperated list still has the
> seperator attached.

(rest deleted)

What version of str_sep.pro are you using? I cannot replicate your problem with either the version (1.1) which comes with IDL 3.6 or the version (1.3) which comes with IDL 4.0.1. My suspicion is that you're using some non-standard version of str_sep.pro. I would certainly consider the behavior you describe as a serious bug.

Bill Thompson
