
Subject: Re: simple deconvolution

Posted by [penteado](#) on Wed, 23 Feb 2011 15:45:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Feb 23, 11:53 am, Jeremy Bailin <astroco...@gmail.com> wrote:

>> I braved a += operator in an e-mail the other day
>> where I thought the context would make its use
>> totally transparent. Total chaos. I probably won't
>> do that again for another couple of years. :-)
>
> Seriously?? I think += is much much clearer than the alternative.
>
> -Jeremy.

Same here. Also for ? : and ++. Since their meaning is more specific, just reading them is easier than the alternatives, which require more interpreting. The same way a foreach is easier to interpret because it is not as open in possibilities as a for.

On a side note, in IDL ++ and -- are curious because they are the only cases of an expression that can return a value.
