
Subject: Re: New Object Method Invocation Syntax Brokenness

Posted by [penteado](#) on Tue, 17 May 2011 01:09:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On May 16, 7:35 pm, JDS <jdtsmith.nos...@yahoo.com> wrote:

> My understanding is `compile_opt idl2` alleviates this particular undesirable feature of the newly ambiguated syntax, though I'm not eager to go back and insert it for thousands of methods, or develop methods to discover potential brokenness. I suppose talk of enabling `idl2` by default died off; I'd be in favor of it. It would break old code, but in a well-controlled and presumably well-advertised way.

>

> By the way, this behavior seems to have been introduced in 8.0.1. I believe the short lived IDL 8.0 respected the arrow operator.

I just dug out an old `idl 8.0` and can confirm it already had that behavior. In a more obvious manifestation of the problem:

```
IDL> a={b:0,c:1.0}
```

```
IDL> help,a->c(0)
```

```
<Expression>  FLOAT    =    1.00000
```

Since there was never any discussion about using `->` for structures, and this only seems to be undesirable, as JD mentioned, to me it looks like a bug. The main argument against making `idl2` assumed by default was to keep compatibility, so this seems unintended. A side effect of some other change to the parser, which went unnoticed.
