
Subject: DERIVSIG derivation problems

Posted by [asdf](#) on Mon, 23 May 2011 16:20:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi,

Looking through the code for DERIVSIG, I'm a little confused about its derivation.

From DERIV, it uses a 3-point Lagrange interpolant, so (as is in the code), for inner points (ignoring end points for now)

```
;df/dx = y0*(2x-x1-x2)/(x01*x02)+y1*(2x-x0-x2)/(x10*x12)+y2*(2x-x0-x1) /  
(x20*x21)
```

; Where: x01 = x0-x1, x02 = x0-x2, x12 = x1-x2, etc.

In IDL, this is how it is written (from DERIV line 69-71,74-76):

```
x12 = x - shift(x,-1) ;x1 - x2  
x01 = shift(x,1) - x ;x0 - x1  
x02 = shift(x,1) - shift(x,-1) ;x0 - x2  
d = shift(y,1) * (x12 / (x01*x02)) + $ ;Middle points  
y * (1./x12 - 1./x01) - $  
shift(y,-1) * (x01 / (x02 * x12))
```

If I do a standard error propagation formula

($\sigma^2 = \sum (\sigma_i^2 (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i})^2)$), then I get (in the terms defined above)

```
sigma_dfdx = sqrt( (2x-x1-x2)^2/(x01*x02)^2*sig_y0^2 + (2x-x0-x2)^2/  
(x10*x12)^2*sig_y1^2 + (2x-x0-x1)^2/(x20*x21)^2*sig_y2^2)
```

In IDL, making use that $x=x1$, and since all the x_{ij} terms are squared in the error propagation formula, we set $x_{ij}=x_{ji}$, I would get:

```
x01=shift(x,1)-x  
x02=shift(x,1)-shift(x,-1)  
x12=x-shift(x,-1)  
sig_y0sqr=shift(sig_y,1)*shift(sig_y,1)  
sig_y1sqr=sig_y*sig_y  
sig_y2sqr=shift(sig_y,-1)*shift(sig_y,-1)  
sigd=(x12^2/(x01*x02)^2*sig_y0sqr + (2*x-shift(x,-1)-shift(x,1))^2/  
(x01*x12)^2*sig_y1sqr + x01^2/(x02*x12)^2*sig_y2sqr)
```

Yet in DERIVSIG, this is the equation used:

```
dsq=shift(x,-1)-shift(x,1)  
dsq=dsq*dsq  
dy=shift(y,-1)-shift(y,1)  
sigd=(shift(sig_y,-1)*shift(sig_y,-1) + shift(sig_y,1)*shift(sig_y,1))/dsq  
sigd=sqrt(sigd)
```

In the notation I used above, this is equivalent to saying:

```
sigd = sqrt( 1./x20^2*sig_y0^2 + 1./x20^2*sig_y2^2)
```

Working through how this compares with the equation I have above, the only way I could get them to match is if DERVSIG is ASSUMING that $x_1 - x_0 = x_2 - x_1$, or that the spacing is uniform (not unity, but equal on each side of a point). This appears to be incorrect (the documentation certainly doesn't mention that the spacing needs to be uniform). Have I missed something somewhere?

Michael
