Subject: Re: Happy Reasons to use IDL 8.1 Posted by ben.bighair on Wed, 25 May 2011 01:22:35 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On 5/24/11 5:28 PM, David Fanning wrote:

> FᅵLDY Lajos writes:

>

- >> Probably IDL does not know about your image function and tries to
- >> subscript the image variable. Does compile opt idl2 help?

>

- > Well, this occurs in a fresh IDL session, so image
- > is undefined. I've also checked to be sure no procedures
- > or functions named "image" are defined.

>

Hi David,

I thought IDL 8+ comes with a function named "Image()" - could it be that the compiler is thinking that you calling the function with a function as an argument.

## Ben

```
> IDL> .reset
> IDL> .compile -v 'C:\IDL\default\junk.pro'
>
> img = image(image, /current)
> % Syntax error.
    At: C:\IDL\default\junk.pro, Line 4
 % 1 Compilation error(s) in module $MAIN$.
>
> Yes, Compile_Opt idl2 helps and seems to solve
> the problem. Yet one more reason (if we need one!)
> that this should finally be the default behavior!
>
 The fact that I know how to work-around the problem
> (naming my image "bob" also solves the problem!) doesn't
> give me much satisfaction. What do you suppose the
> problem is?
>
 Cheers,
> David
>
```