Subject: Re: More on Exp bugs Posted by f055 on Wed, 18 Dec 1996 08:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

- -But I didn't like the way I could segfault IDL with just exp() calls.
- -(By the way, I STILL can. On a DEC 3000/500 with exp(-90.0) followed by
- -exp(-9000.0). (Single precision.) Can anyone else do this?)

Doesn't happen on a DEC 3000/600 with IDL 4.0.

- -I realise that this is a MAJOR stir, but I was wondering what people's views
- -are on IDL's "NaN" and "Infinity" support?
- -Personally: I haven't yet implemented "Infinity" in my IDL programs,
- -and I haven't used "NaN" much at all. I like the idea of "NaN", but I
- -started many of my programs before it was around in IDL, and so I found other
- -ways to cope with "bad values" and the like.

NaN is, I think, a good improvement in dealing with missing data values - but perhaps only because the previous support was so patchy. But remaining problems with it are:

- 1) You can't use it to indicate missing values in integer variables (a problem if part of a calculation involves integers, even if the start and end points do not).
- 2) Many routines still don't have an option to ignore missing values.
- 3) You cannot write out and read back in NaN data when using ASCII data files.
- -I can't be bothered with FP underflows (just give me 0).

Dr Tim Osborn	. t.osborn@uea.ac.uk
	A '

/ / / /. Senior Research Associate	. phone:01603 592089
/ /. / / / Climatic Research Unit . fax:	01603 507784
// / School of Environmental Science	es.
. / ∧ / University of East Anglia .	
//\/ Norwich NR4 7TJ	
UK .	

Hear hear.