Subject: Re: New Object Method Invocation Syntax Brokenness Posted by chris_torrence@NOSPAM on Fri, 10 Jun 2011 20:03:55 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On May 18, 4:02 pm, Chris Torrence <gorth...@gmail.com> wrote:

>

- > This is definitely a bug. As Paulo points out, we already fixed the
- > problem with the "dot". In IDL 8.0.1 and IDL 8.1 the field always
- > takes precedence over the method, unless "compile opt idl2" is in
- > effect. We did this to preserve backwards compatibility if you had
- > code that used parentheses, and there was a field with the same name,
- > then IDL will access the field.

>

- > However, it looks like the fix went too far and also included the
- > arrow. We'll investigate the bug and let you know what we find.

>

Hi all.

We have fixed this bug, and it will be available in the next version of IDL. In summary:

- 1. If you use the "arrow", IDL will always call the method (even if there is a field with the same name).
- 2. If you use the "dot", and you have both a method and a field with the same name, IDL will access the field if the compiler cannot tell whether it is a method call or a field.

Examples:

- a. self->something(...) ; always calls the "something" method, regardless of what is inside the parentheses
- b. self.something[...]; always accesses the field
- c. self.something(...) {with compile_opt strictarr}; always calls the "something" method, regardless of what is inside the parentheses
- d. self.something(keyword=5) ; calls the method because there is a keyword
- e. self.something(0:2) ; accesses the field because there is an array range
- f. self.something(2,4); Ambiguous! IDL will access the field.

Thanks for reporting this issue.

Cheers.

Chris

ITTVIS