Subject: Re: C syntax for IDL Posted by Uwe Knorr on Tue, 10 Dec 1996 08:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Charles Martin wrote:

```
> I program mostly in IDL, but every once and a while I program in C. I find
> the switch to be unnecessarily painful. What I would really like is an
> alternative syntax for IDL that would be C-like. For example:
>
> for i=0,10 do begin
  x(i)=i
> endfor
> Could become
> for (i=0; i<10; i++) {
  x[i]=i
>
> }
/* a few lines later */
> Charles Martin
> University of Texas
```

You took the words right out of my mouth ...

As a (mainly) C programmer it always takes me a while to switch to IDL. (And if you do some perl in between, you may go crazy)

My tip:

Copy & Paste the HandiGuide (using a copy-machine and some glue) to your needs.

If someone will ever change the syntax, please please be consistant. If degree to radiant is !DTOR, then why is the reverse !RADEG (and not !RTOD)?

But nevertheless, if you once get used to the syntax (and you have the HandiGuide at your fingertips) you have a fantastic product ;-)

Uwe Knorr

\ Uwe Knorr

\ MNR-Klinik / Neurologie / Uni Duesseldorf \mailto:knorr@neurologie.uni-duesseldorf.de \http://www.neurologie.uni-duesseldorf.de/~knorr/

Page 2 of 2 ---- Generated from comp.lang.idl-pvwave archive