

---

Subject: Re: Reading 32-bit complex numbers in IDL (16-bit real / 16-bit imaginary)  
Posted by [Wout De Nolf](#) on Sat, 13 Aug 2011 12:26:58 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Fri, 12 Aug 2011 06:15:35 -0700 (PDT), "Waqas A. Qazi"  
<waqastro@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Hi chl and wox,  
>  
> Thanks, I took up from the info both of you noted here, and did some  
> reading on my own.  
>  
> It seems the numbers are indeed half-precision floating point (never  
> knew this was a data-type!), but in the data storage here, they are  
> specified as integers and should be read as such. The data  
> specification document states clearly that "samples are stored as 16  
> bit / 16 bit complex integer (4 bytes).  
>  
> Wox, I understand the logic you have presented, and I will follow  
> that, but have one question. After defining an intarr (16 bit) and  
> reading 2-byte integers and later pairing them up for input into the  
> complex function, why do I have to convert from integer to float  
> first? I think that the complex function should automatically convert  
> them to single-precision float complex??  
>  
>  
> Thanks,  
> Waqas.

When I say "convert integer to float" I don't mean that you would convert 10 to 10.0 for example. The value of the integer is not considered at all, it's the "bit-content" that is used. The integer is just a bag of bits that represent a floating point number according to the IEEE754 standard. That's why the function is called `BINARYTOFLOAT` and not `INTEGERTOFLOAT` or something. In the example I gave:

```
IDL> integer='3555'x
IDL> f=binarytofloat(integer,precision=0)
IDL> print,integer
    13653
IDL> print,f
    0.333252
```

You can see that the integer value 13653 has nothing to do with 0.33325... However both numbers have the same binary representation, namely

```
IDL> print,integer,format='(b016)'  
0011010101010101
```

Note: Since IDL can't handle 16bit floats, the binary representation of f (32bit float) isn't the same anymore as that of 13653. The value of f is correct however: the value represented by 13653 under the half-precision IEEE 754 convention.

---