Subject: Re: Is IDL 8.1 Useable!?

Posted by lecacheux.alain on Thu, 08 Sep 2011 16:22:02 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On 8 sep, 17:41, David Fanning <n...@dfanning.com> wrote:

- > Paul van Delst writes:
- >> The biggest issue I have with NG is that it is so agonisingly slow. A big selling point for these sorts of products
- >> (IDL, matlab, etc) is that they make you more productive because visualising your data is easy and guick. NG kills the
- >> latter and, based on posts to this newsgroup, is doing a good job of nobbling the former (although I attribute some of
- >> that to resistance to shifting one's perception anchor from how one thinks things *should* work, to how they actually
- >> *do* work).

>

- > Well, if there was some instruction in how they *do* work
- > we wouldn't be having to make so many guesses as to how
- > they *should* work!

>

- > My plan was to help provide a solution to the first
- > problem, but it is going awry. :-(

>

> Cheers,

>

- > David
- > --
- > David Fanning, Ph.D.
- > Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
- > Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming:http://www.dfanning.com/
- > Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

I'am not so sure that DG is faster than NG! alx.

IDL> p = randomn(rien, 1024L*1024)

IDL> t=systime(1) & plot, p, PSYM=3 & print, DG elaps: ',systime(1)-t

DG elaps: 16.110000

IDL> t=systime(1) & q=plot(p, LINESTYLE=6, SYMBOL='dot') & print,'DG

elaps:',systime(1)-t % Loaded DLM: XML. NG elaps: 11.740000