

Ed Hyer writes:

- > I am still confused. The first line of code in your article uses a
- > keyword to MAP\_PROJ\_INIT, "ELLIPSOID='wgs84'", which I can find
- > nowhere in the documentation of MAP\_PROJ\_INIT. I see a DATUM keyword
- > (that doesn't solve the problem described-- map parameters are still
- > spherical when I specify DATUM=8). Was this ELLIPSOID keyword
- > introduced in a recent version?

I don't know. It works in both IDL 7.1 and IDL 8.1. I guess I have been using it for awhile.

- > Anyway, perusing the group archive, I see that Andrew Cool in 2004
- > said "I suspect that there is an inherent problem in IDL's mapping
- > routines in the way they handle Transverse Mercator and rotation."
- >
- > Might be worth updating this page with new information:
- > [http://www.idlcoyote.com/map\\_tips/utm\\_to\\_ll.html](http://www.idlcoyote.com/map_tips/utm_to_ll.html)

Yeah. I just received acknowledgment from the support folks at (whatever the company is named now, can't remember) that the WGS84 ellipsoid is broken. They suggest using the WALBACK ellipsoid, which is nearly identical. In some tests I have just conducted, the error is less than a meter using this ellipsoid. (I'll update my article in just a couple of minutes.)

There are still some things about the UTM projection I don't understand, but this seems to get around the major problem I was having with it. They tell me the WGS84 ellipsoid problem is fixed in the next version of IDL. (The semi-major axis and eccentricity values in the map structure that is returned from Map\_Proj\_Init for a UTM projection also contains the values 6370997.0 and 0.000, respectively. These are clearly values for a sphere. So, be careful if you use map structure values directly.)

- > proj.4 is nice any everything, but one of the strongest points
- > remaining in IDL's favor is that it does not use external libraries
- > and thus does not have dependency troubles that plague other
- > solutions. In the short-term, they should just fix the bug-- I
- > seriously doubt that there was ever a version of the GCTP software
- > that couldn't handle UTM.

Well, I would think. :-)

Cheers,

David

P.S. Is it just my imagination, or does the name of this company change more than the name of the latest "new" graphics system?

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.idlcoyote.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

---