
Subject: Re: changing the format of axis

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Thu, 24 Nov 2011 14:22:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

khorsand10@yahoo.com writes:

> The graph created by iPlot looks nicer than the one produced by Plot
> function, i.e. the text format. I also can move the legend window to
> an empty place on the graph easily. If only I can add something like
> 'xtickv=x, xticks=n_elements(x)-1' to iPlot ...

The one big advantage of Coyote Graphics legends, of course,
is that they stay in the same place when the graphics window
is resized. The reason you have to move the damn iPlot
legend so much is that when you resize the window you
don't know where in the world it might show up! :-)

http://www.idlcoyote.com/ng_tips/loglegend.php

I agree that object graphics plots look better on the
display. But, who cares? I'm the only one looking at
them. The key is having them look good when they are
shown to someone else. The PostScript files produced
by Coyote Graphics are sometimes orders of magnitude
smaller than the PostScript files created with
function graphics commands, and if you use ImageMagick
to produce raster output from PostScript intermediates,
then I would say the raster file output is comparable.

Ugly display fonts aren't the first thing to spring to
mind as something to complain about as I am twiddling
my thumbs waiting for that function graphics plot to
make it to the display!

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.idlcoyote.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")
