
Subject: Re: Incomplete output PNG files.

Posted by [lecacheux.alain](#) on Thu, 15 Dec 2011 10:32:47 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 15 déc, 11:22, alx <lecacheux.al...@wanadoo.fr> wrote:

> On 14 déc, 23:21, David Fanning <n...@dfanning.com> wrote:

>

>

>

>

>

>> Mark Piper writes:

>>> This is a slightly different workflow, but could you please try setting

>>> the BUFFER keyword in your call to IMAGE? E.g.,

>

>>> p = image(data, /buffer)

>>> p.save, 'this_image.png'

>>> p.close

>

>>> The graphic will be rendered in an offscreen buffer. I have a hunch that

>>> this may help, since this feels like a tricky (to me, at least) X server

>>> issue.

>

>> I was curious to see how Coyote Graphics output would

>> stack up against the output from these function graphics

>> routines. But I wanted to be able to compare apples

>> to apples, so I spent some time today modifying the

>> Coyote Graphic routines so that I could control

>> the output file parameters, and in particular, the

>> resolution of the output.

>

>> This is now done with cgWindow_SetDefs, just like

>> it is for cgWindow. In my first comparisons, I noticed

>> that the function graphics output was a bit darker

>> than the Coyote Graphics output, so I defined a new

>> keyword for PS_START, called DEFAULT_THICKNESS so that

>> I can set the default line and character thickness for

>> the PostScript output. I set the default to 3 to better

>> match the function graphics output.

>

>> Anyway, you will need an updated Coyote Library to run

>> the program described, if you want to play around with this:

>

>> http://www.idlcoyote.com/programs/zip_files/coyoteprograms.zip

>

>> This is tagged release 1.5.1, if you are using the Subversion

>> repository.

>

>> So, here is the program. I'm doing a simple plot command and
>> saving the data as JPEG, PNG, and encapsulated PostScript files.
>> (Coyote Graphics routines actually produce landscape PostScript
>> files, which function graphics commands do not, so I am using
>> encapsulated PostScript for my comparisons. Both will produce
>> encapsulated output in Portrait mode.) I've saved the files
>> at 600 dpi, 300 dpi and 75 dpi.

>

>> I was careful to make sure I was using the same size window
>> in both cases, 640 in X and 512 in Y.

>

>> In general, I can't really tell much difference in the output.
>> The title is set too close to the plot, but that has always
>> been the case in direct graphics. That is about the only
>> difference that really jumps out at me.

>

>> A couple of odd things. The PostScript files are all the
>> same size at every resolution. They are 11KB for Coyote
>> Graphics output and 9 KB for function graphics output.
>> Here is a table of values in KM. The size values are
>> a comparison of the output. You can see that Coyote
>> Graphics routines are consistently larger in dimensions,
>> but smaller in total size. I don't know how to account for
>> this. In any case, the visual output is comparable so
>> I assume this is just a different way of setting the
>> resolution. The XSIZE and YSIZE dimensions are for the
>> JPEG file in every case, but the comparable PNG file
>> has the same dimensions.

>

	EPS	JPEG	PNG	XSIZE	YSIZE
>> cg75	11	39	63	717	573
>> fg75	9	39	33	667	534

>

>> cg300	11	227	46	2867	2292
>> fg300	9	254	165	2669	2135

>

>> cg600	11	568	131	5733	4583
>> fg600	9	736	379	5339	4271

>

>> I guess the bottom line is that I am EXTREMELY happy
>> with the performance of Coyote Graphics in this
>> comparison. Not only are my routines faster, but the output
>> I care about is essentially identical to the output
>> from function graphics routines. As an added bonus,
>> my output files are significantly smaller at high
>> resolution. I don't know why this would be the case.

>

>> Here is the code I used, if you want to try this for

>> yourself:
>
>> http://www.idlcoyote.com/misc/compare_resolution.pro
>
>> Cheers,
>
>> David
>> --
>> David Fanning, Ph.D.
>> Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
>> Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming:<http://www.idlcoyote.com/>
>> Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")
>
> I could note that the "p.save"d PNG file size is depending on the
> window size when using an open NG graphics window. I guess that the
> saved graphic file will depend on the off-screen buffer size when
> BUFFER keyword is used. But what is this size? I could not find the
> answer in 8.1 documentation. Maybe larger than Coyote's one
> (IDLgrBuffer has a maximum size of 82192x8192) ?
> alx.- Masquer le texte des messages précédents -
>
> - Afficher le texte des messages précédents -

sorry, please read 8192x8192 in my previous message.
