
Subject: Re: One Reason Python is not taking over for IDL
Posted by [Russell\[1\]](#) on Wed, 21 Dec 2011 20:31:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I've recently graduated to IDL >8 (specifically 8.1), and for the most part everything is in good shape. I don't, on the other hand, use many of the new features and largely still do things "the old fashioned way" (e.g. using things like the procedural plotting routines) because I work closely with people who are still using IDL 7.x and we share code. I'm anxious to learn about the new features (and bugs), when my collaborators catch up!

I did have to change a few minor things --- but all of them are backwards-compatible. But it was readily apparent what the problem(s) are/were.

R

On Dec 21, 12:16 pm, David Fanning <n...@dfanning.com> wrote:
> Russell writes:
>> I'm willing to believe that Python is a better language, but never
>> because it's cheaper. I'm willing to pay for a product that works.
>
> Humm. What do we say, then, about IDL 8.x? :-(
>
> Cheers,
>
> David
>
> --
> David Fanning, Ph.D.
> Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
> Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming:<http://www.idlcoyote.com/>
> Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")
