Subject: Re: idl and pv-wave

Posted by Achim Hein on Mon, 27 Jan 1997 08:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
<Big Brother wrote:
<>
<> Achim Hein wrote:
<> > Try the following:
<> > wave>test=findgen(4097)
<> > wave>print,max(test-fft(test,-1),1))
<>
<> Shurely it should be max(test-fft(fft(test,-1),1)) :-)
<>
<> > wave>(31.3898,0.350983)
<> fairly close, my HP gives
<> (29.4698,0.247685)
<>
<> > In IDL you will get as result:
<> > IDL>test=findgen(4097)
<> > IDL>print,max(test-fft(test,-1),1))
<> > IDL>(0.000244141,1.39110e-05)
<>> If you try to evaluate this FFT with a 4096-length, the results in
<> > > both programs are quite equal.
<>
<> test=findgen(4095)
<> print, max( test-fft(fft(test,-1), 1))
<> (0.00219727, -0.000417931)
<>
<>> There was a discussion some month ago with Sergei Senin...:
<> > In his opinion:
<>
<> IMHO :-)
<> > > <There seems to be no mistake, but simply no check in the
<> > But I think:
<>>> It seems so, but I think a Fourier-Transform-Algorithm has to
<> > > run for every array length
<>
<> I do agree with you now - WAVE fft is weird.
<> I'm using it to plot amplitude and phase spectral densities, which
<> requires a certain precision, and to avoid this problem, I run a
"power-of-two check" routine and zero padding before doing fft.
<> Sergei Senin
```

Thank you, I am very pleased.

Achim

PS.: I cannot get you by E-mail

Dipl.-Ing. A. Hein PB2 / ZESS - Uni-GH-Siegen Paul-Bonatz Str. 9-11 57068 Siegen

Phone: 0271/740-3362 Fax: 0271/740-2336

Please have a look at our Web-Sites:

http://www.nv.et-inf.uni-siegen.de/pb2/www_pb2