
Subject: Re: Name of arrays

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Tue, 21 Feb 2012 22:44:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Paul van Delst writes:

> au contraire, mon ami.

>

> Increased productivity has to come from somewhere. My (almost completely vicarious) experience with the harder core

> programmer-y types is that if a language provides the mechanism to express something in fewer keystrokes, it will catch on.

>

> Look at ruby. (although the fun-ness factor shouldn't be brushed off).

>

> Mind you, the use of an editor that is language-aware, in the hands of a master, is something to behold. I watched a web

> video once of a guy demonstrating how easy it was to set up a ruby application using rails. He hardly had to type more

> than two/three characters of the reserved words before they auto-completed. Five minutes later, he had a functioning

> blogging site set up, hooked into a database, accepted comments, etc. Coded from scratch. Took my breath away.... and

> made the fancy piece of paper from the university that is hanging on my wall seem, uh, not so special. :o)

OK, I'm going to give up trolling for the day
and admit defeat. :-)

Cheers,

David

P.S. If you come across that video again, I'd like
to see it.

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.idlcoyote.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")
