Subject: Re: Matrix algebra and index order, A # B vs A ## B
Posted by David Fanning on Mon, 26 Mar 2012 13:00:05 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Mats Löfdahl writes:

> IDL has two operators for matrix multiplication, # and ##.
> The former assumes the matrices involved have colum number as
> the first index and row number as the second, i.e., A_{rc} =
> A[c,r] with mathematics on the LHS and IDL on the RHS. The
> latter operator makes the opposite assumption, A_{rc} = A[r,c].
>
> I believe much headache can be avoided if one chooses one
> notation and sticks with it. If it were only me, I'd choose
> the A_{rc} = A[r,c] notation. But it isn't only me, because
> I like to take advantage of IDL routines written by others.
> So, has there merged some kind of consensus among influential
> IDL programmers (those that write publicly available
> routines that are widely used - thank you BTW!) for
> which convention to use?

Yes, the consensus that has emerged is that no operation
is more fraught with ambiguity, anguish, and frustration
than trying to translate a section of linear algebra code
from a paper or textbook (say on Principle Components
Analysis) to IDL than almost anything you can imagine!
It's like practicing backwards writing in the mirror.

And, of course, while you are doing it you have the
growing realization that there is no freaking way you
are EVER going to be able to write the on-line
documentation to explain this dog's dish of a program
to anyone else. :-(

The solution, of course, is to stick with the ##
notation for as long as it makes sense, then throw
in a couple of # signs whenever needed to make the
math come out right. :-)

Cheers,

David

--
David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.idlcoyote.com/

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")