
Subject: Re: Interesting Filled Contour Problem

Posted by [Carsten Lechte](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2012 11:54:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 17/04/12 05:21, Craig Markwardt wrote:

> NAN OP X

> will always result in a value of NAN, for all operations OP and for all operands X

Unless that OP is a comparison operator; then the result is always false, i.e. NAN eq NAN is false etc.

> Strangely,

> NAN < 0

> produces NAN, so apparently NAN is a negative number (!!!).

You jest, but what is happening is this:

```
IDL> print, asdf, asdf GT 0, asdf LT 0, asdf < 0, asdf > 0
```

```
  -1.0000000      -NaN      NaN      1.0000000
```

```
0 0 0 1
```

```
1 0 0 0
```

```
  -1.0000000      -NaN      NaN      0.0000000
```

```
  0.0000000      0.0000000      0.0000000      1.0000000
```

It seems like "asdf < 0" zeros those elements WHERE(asdf gt 0), i.e. element 3, while "asdf > 0" uses the COMPLEMENT of WHERE(asdf gt 0), i.e. [0,1,2], instead of zeroing WHERE(asdf LT 0), i.e. [0].

Unexpected, inconsistent, yet conforming to IEEE.

chl
