Craig Markwardt writes:

> Whaaa? I was under the impression that
>   NAN = !values.d_nan
>   NAN OP X
> will always result in a value of NAN, for all operations OP and for all operands X. In other
words, I don't think IDL's behavior is kosher.
>
> Strangely,
>   NAN < 0
> produces NAN, so apparently NAN is a negative number (!!!).

I guess. I'm as surprised as you are. Inconsistent,
to say the least. :-)

Cheers,

David

--
David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.idlcoyote.com/
Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")