
Subject: Re: Interesting Filled Contour Problem

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Tue, 17 Apr 2012 03:51:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Craig Markwardt writes:

> Whaaa? I was under the impression that
> NAN = !values.d_nan
> NAN OP X
> will always result in a value of NAN, for all operations OP and for all operands X. In other words, I don't think IDL's behavior is kosher.
>
> Strangely,
> NAN < 0
> produces NAN, so apparently NAN is a negative number (!!!).

I guess. I'm as surprised as you are. Inconsistent, to say the least. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.idlcoyote.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")
