Subject: Re: Trouble with MPFITFUN

Posted by Craig Markwardt on Thu, 12 Apr 2012 05:10:08 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Wednesday, April 11, 2012 12:34:23 PM UTC-4, Helder wrote:

- > Hi,
- > I've been spending a bit too much time on this and I am wondering what is going wrong here.
- > I'm trying to fit using a step function broadened by a Gaussian.
- > The fitting function is:
- >
- > FUNCTION GaussStep, X, P
- > ;Calculate the broadening of a step function with:
- > ;P[0] = step position
- > ;P[1] = left value
- > P[2] = right value
- >;P[3] = step width
- > PRINT, P
- > P[0] = (P[0] > MIN(X)) < MAX(X)
- > Y = DBLARR(N ELEMENTS(X))
- > LowIndeces = WHERE(X LT P[0], CountLow, COMPLEMENT = HighIndeces,

NCOMPLEMENT=CountHigh)

- > IF CountLow GT 0 THEN Y[LowIndeces] = P[1]
- > IF CountHigh GT 0 THEN Y[HighIndeces] = P[2]
- > Sigma=P[3]
- > nPts=10*Sigma+1.0
- > kernel=DINDGEN(nPts)-(nPts-1)/2.0
- > kernel=EXP(-kernel^2/(2.*sigma^2))
- > kernel/=TOTAL(kernel,/DOUBLE)
- > yconvol = CONVOL(Y,kernel,/EDGE_TRUNCATE)
- > RETURN, yconvol
- > END

>

- > To test MPFITFUN I use the following code:
- > PRO TestFit
- > xData = DINDGEN(201)
- yData = DBLARR(201)+RANDOMU(SEED,201,/DOUBLE)*0.2-0.1
- > yData[150:200] += 1.0D
- > StParam = [148D,MIN(yData),MAX(yData),3D]
- > DataErr = DBLARR(N_ELEMENTS(xData))+0.2D
- > Results = MPFITFUN('GaussStep', xData,yData, DataErr, StParam, STATUS=status, /quiet)
- > PLOT, xData, yData
- > OPLOT, xData, GaussStep(xData,Results), COLOR = 255L
- > PRINT, 'Final Parameters = ', Results
- > PRINT, 'Start Parameters = ', StParam
- > END

> The output shows all the calls of the fitting function. And I find that at the end there is always NO change in the first parameter. Here is an example of the output:

```
>
>
      148.00000
                 -0.099990073
                                 1.0994661
                                              3.0000000
      148.00000
                 -0.099990073
                                 1.0994661
                                              3.0000000
>
      148.00000
                 -0.099990071
                                 1.0994661
                                              3.0000000
>
      148.00000
                -0.099990073
                                 1.0994661
                                              3.0000000
>
>
      148.00000 -0.099990073
                                 1.0994661
                                              3.0000000
      148.00000
                 0.0073445709
                                 1.0082363
                                              2.3488363
>
      148.00000 0.0073445709
                                 1.0082363
                                              2.3488363
>
      148.00000 0.0073445710
                                 1.0082363
                                              2.3488363
>
>
>
      148.00000 -0.0039705287
                                 0.99188729
                                               2.0999998
      148.00000 -0.0039705257
                                 0.99188729
                                               2.1000000
>
      148.00000 -0.0039705254
                                 0.99188729
                                               2.1000000
>
      148.00000 -0.0039705254
                                 0.99188729
                                               2.1000000
>
> Final Parameters =
                       148.00000 -0.0039705254
                                                  0.99188729
                                                                2.1000000
 Start Parameters =
                       148.00000
                                  -0.095071379
                                                  1.0978406
                                                               3.0000000
```

> Throughout all the fitting procedure the first parameter has never been changed.

> Am I doing something terribly wrong? I generally have no estimates for the errors in the data, therefore I used 0.1. In the example data this is easy to calculate, but the fitting has to be applied to the most different data sets.

> I also tried playing with the XTOL parameter without any success.

> Any tips are appreciated.

>
> Many thanks,

> Helder

>

> PS: I tried lots of different initial conditions, I tried using "parinfo.fixed" to block the other parameters, ... but at the end I never get any change in P[0]... sigh..

> PSS: The function GaussStep is working fine... I can replot the data in the correct way by moving the parameters by hand.

You are getting closer to the right track.

If I were you, I would avoid complicated invocations of CONVOL. It looks like you can compute your "smoothed step function" exactly, by using the ERF (formerly ERRORF) function. I've used that before with success.

ERF is much better than your convolution because it actually integrates the gaussian, rather than assuming that sampling a gaussian at a few discrete points is sufficient to integrate it.

You might also want to play with using PARINFO, and setting the .STEP or .RELSTEP fields. The fitter can get stuck if your peak position and/or step position is between data samples. Set the parameter step size to something close to your data grid sample size.

Best wishes, Craig Markwardt

Page 3 of 3 ---- Generated from

comp.lang.idl-pvwave archive