

---

Subject: Re: strange behaviour of bytscl by large arrays  
Posted by [lecacheux.alain](#) on Thu, 26 Apr 2012 16:00:23 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 26 avr, 16:59, fawltylangu...@gmail.com wrote:

> I think this is not a precision issue. Float can represent numbers up to  $10^{38}$  with a relative error of  $10^{-7}$ . For huge values FINDGEN() creates indices with much bigger errors and this is the consequence of the current implementation, not the nature of floating point representation.  
>

This is a precision issue, not *relative* but *absolute*. For n expressed as a floating point number and larger than its precision inverse, n+1 is no longer discernible from n. As you can see:

```
IDL> print,float(10L^8+indgen(10)),FORMAT='(10Z8)'
5F5E100 5F5E100 5F5E100 5F5E100 5F5E100 5F5E108 5F5E108 5F5E108
5F5E108 5F5E108
IDL> print,double(10L^8+indgen(10)),FORMAT='(10Z8)'
5F5E100 5F5E101 5F5E102 5F5E103 5F5E104 5F5E105 5F5E106 5F5E107
5F5E108 5F5E109
```

Here  $10L^8$  is larger than  $2/(\text{machar}()).\text{eps} = 16777216$ , and smaller than  $2/(\text{machar}(/DOUBLE)).\text{eps}$  (about  $9e15$ ).

Creating a floating point ramp beyond 16777216 is formally possible, but is no sense since distinct values will be more and more spaced.

alx.

---