Subject: Re: Angstrom Symbol Nonsense Posted by steinhh on Sun, 02 Feb 1997 08:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
In article <32F21F7B.738E@cdc.noaa.gov>, Andy Loughe <afl@cdc.noaa.gov> writes:
|> William Thompson wrote:
|>
|> >
l> > > David
|> >
|> > That's actually the same as the STRING("305B) that you suggested earlier, j
ust
> expressed in decimal as well as octal. It does work regardless of graphics
|> > device, including PostScript, so long as one avoids hardware fonts.
|> > Bill Thompson
|>
|>
|> Bingo!
|> I don't think this can be done, reliably at least, for hardware fonts.
|> What does that mean? With hardware fonts it may work in X, but never in
|> PS.
As a native of a country where the combined office staff is
probably spending millions of bucks each year trying to figure out
"how do we get these blasted norwegian characters to work on *this* one!"
whenver a piece of new equipment comes along, I'm proud to present the
solution:
set_plot,'PS'
!P.font=0
device,/isolatin1; It's only a shame that this is not the default...
xyouts, 0.5, 0.5, /normal, string(197b)
device./close
set_plot,'X'
And to be really nitpicking, it's not at all an "angstrom sign" :-)
It merely happens to be the character used in the name of the Swedish
physicist {\Aa}ngstr{\"o}m.
The KeySym is "Aring".
Cheers.
Stein Vidar H. Haugan
```