
Subject: TIME_TEST notes

Posted by [sextom](#) on Mon, 17 May 1993 17:22:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks for all the response to my original request for benchmarks.

I have a few points to make:

1. Per the on-line IDL documentation, the pre-Jul 90 version of TIME_TEST is 10 (ten) times less intensive than the post-Jul 90 version. This means that old version of the test will run about 10 times faster. (I don't know which Version the change happened at).
2. The last TIME_TEST routine is, in effect, a disk test (as pointed out by Glen Carver) so the full printout from the tests is needed for meaningful comparison.
3. A couple of people have had problems running GRAPHICS_TIMES. The correct spelling of the routine is as above, and the routine is only compiled by running the TIME_TEST routine.

I'm compiling all results into some kind of usable format, and will post summary time in the next few weeks.

Matt Sexton Sexton@claes.space.lockheed.com
