

---

Subject: Re: Passing variables between procedures  
Posted by [Michael Galloy](#) on Tue, 10 Jul 2012 17:47:25 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 7/10/12 10:13 AM, Thesource007 wrote:

> On Monday, July 9, 2012 7:36:00 AM UTC-10, Mike Galloy wrote:

>> On 7/6/12 10:28 PM, Thesource007 wrote:

>> &gt; On Friday, July 6, 2012 8:42:48 PM UTC-7, Craig Markwardt wrote:

>> &gt;&gt; On Friday, July 6, 2012 5:43:30 PM UTC-4, Thesource007 wrote:

>> &gt;&gt;&gt; Hi all.

>> &gt;&gt;&gt; This is my problem. I have two IDL procedures

>> &gt;&gt;&gt; hr2altaz, hour, dec, alt, az -- which takes the HA and DEC and

>> &gt;&gt;&gt; converts it to ALT and AZ

>> &gt;&gt;&gt; altaz2hr, hour, dec, alt, az -- which takes ALT and AZ, and converts

>> &gt;&gt;&gt; it to HA and DEC.

>> &gt;&gt;&gt;

>> &gt;&gt;&gt; What I need to do, is to begin with a certain HA and DEC, execute

>> &gt;&gt;&gt; hr2altaz, which will give me the ALT and AZ, and then take those two

>> &gt;&gt;&gt; outputs to execute altaz2hr, which will convert themj back to HA and

>> &gt;&gt;&gt; DEC, and then repeat the process many times (say 100).

>> &gt;&gt;&gt; The purpose being to compare the initial HA, DEC to the final (after

>> &gt;&gt;&gt; 100 reps) HA, DEC.

>> &gt;&gt;&gt; Is there a simple way to do this? Or I just have to use an input file

>> &gt;&gt;&gt; and loops?

>> &gt;&gt;&gt;

>> &gt;&gt;&gt; Applying the function and its inverse: yes, just use a loop. The overhead of executing a loop 100 times is minimal.

>> &gt;&gt;&gt;

>> &gt;&gt;&gt; "An input file" : that's up to you. But you can always read your values into an array once at the beginning.

>> &gt;&gt;&gt;

>> &gt;&gt;&gt; Craig

>> &gt;&gt;&gt;

>> &gt;&gt;&gt; Ok. But now the question is... how do I "transfer" the output of one procedure to be the input of the other? I dont have a clue of how to do that.

>> &gt;&gt;&gt; Thank you

>> &gt;&gt;&gt;

>>

>> From what I understand, you just need to do this:

>>

>> for i = 0, 99 do begin

>>   hr2altaz, orig\_hour, orig\_dec, alt, az

>>   altaz2hr, hour, dec, alt, az

>>   ; compare orig\_hour/orig\_dec to hour/dec

>> endfor

>>

>> IDL passes "named variables" like orig\_hour, orig\_dec, etc. by

>> reference, meaning changes to them inside the routine are passed out of

```

>> the routine. So when alt/az are modified in hr2altaz, the new values are
>> passed into altaz2hr.
>>
>> On the other hand, expressions like orig_hour[*], alt[0], my_struct.x,
>> etc. are passed by value and modifications to them inside a routine are
>> only local to that routine.
>>
>> Mike
>> --
>> Michael Galloy
>> www.michaelgalloy.com
>> Modern IDL: A Guide to IDL Programming (http://modernidl.idldev.com)
>> Research Mathematician
>> Tech-X Corporation
>
> Thank you for your response. But now I have a question.
> How would I input the firts HA and DEC, and where should I write the code? Should be a new
> procedure?
> What I did was to create a new procedure like this
> pro doarun, hour, dec
> for i = 0, 99 do begin
>   hr2altaz, hour, dec, alt, az
>   altaz2hr, hour, dec, alt, az
> endfor
> END
> It seems to work, but I have some problems.
> The numbers used to change a bit. Something like the original inputs would be dorun, 18.5666,
> -16.7313
> and the last hour and dec(after 100 reps) would be 18.566597 & -16.730573. Good.
> Now what I wanted to do is to minimize this change, so I changed my codes to calculate in
> double precision. Now, for every hour, dec, alt, az, they just repeat 100 times, without any change.
> I dont know if this is suppose to happen, or maybe every time the loop runs, it takes my initial
> inputs again and again, which I dont think so because the numbers used to change (they were
> FLOAT before, now they are DOUBLE). Could you tell me if what I did was correct? I mean, I
> wanted to minimize the difference between the original inputs and outputs, but now they dont
> change at all. I dont know if I fixed it or I just messed it up.
> Thank you for your help
>

```

If you are doing it as above, the results of the last calculation are used for the next -- they are not getting reset to the original values. Of course, it's hard to vouch for them without seeing the entire code, but since they were changing with float arrays, I would say it is highly likely you are doing it right now.

```

Mike
--
Michael Galloy

```

www.michaelgalloy.com  
Modern IDL: A Guide to IDL Programming (<http://modernidl.idldev.com>)  
Research Mathematician  
Tech-X Corporation

---