## Subject: Re: Inheriting Properties (or something similar) in IDLDOC Posted by Michael Galloy on Tue, 10 Jul 2012 03:34:20 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On 7/9/12 6:05 PM, Bogdanovist wrote:
```

- > I've just started a project of re-commenting a large IDL code into
- > IDLDOC format and moving some external text file documentation into
- > those comments.

>

- > One issue I have is that the code uses IDL custom object, and in
- > particular inheritance, extensively. I would like the documentation
- > for derived classes to inherit appropriate info from the parent class
- > documentation.

>

- > In particular, the functionality of a lot of the object functions,
- > such as threshold levels and other parameters and switches are
- > controlled by config files. A base class may have some behaviour
- > controlled by some 'tag' in a config file and a derived class may be
- > controlled by additional tags. I would like a way to summarise all of
- > the config file tags that control an object (i.e. are looked at by any
- > of the objects functions) and then have derived classes documentation
- > inherit the summary from the superclass.

>

- > Looking over the IDLDOC reference guide, I though perhaps
- > the :Properties: tag in the file comments might allow this. So I have
- > comments in the base class <obj name> define.pro file that look
- something like this: >

> > ;+

- ; Abstract Base class for handling input data for mapping.
- : Provides a common interface for different data sources.

>

- ; :Properties:
- data\_type: property name
- The IDL data type to use for the data storage array pointed to >
- by self.data
- ; somthing\_else:
- Some other property >

>

>

- > This produces a nice summary of 'properties' in the IDLDOC produced
- > docs. However, this does not show up the doc page for derived classes
- (not that I was expected to, just hoping....).

>

- > Is there any way to achieve what I'm trying to do? For comparison the
- > way IDLDOC handles the actual class members is exactly the behaviour I
- > want, but for a 'user defined' set of properties of objects. Is this
- > possible?

Ah, no, not right now. That is an interesting feature though, that would be quite useful. I will make a feature ticket for that.

One workaround for it right now would be to create a my\_class\_properties.idldoc page that lists all the properties for my\_class and then (manually) link to that page from all the derived classes.

## Mike

--

Michael Galloy www.michaelgalloy.com Modern IDL, A Guide to Learning IDL: http://modernidl.idldev.com Research Mathematician Tech-X Corporation