Subject: Re: Efficiently perform histogram reverse indices like procedure on a string array?

Posted by ben.bighair on Thu, 26 Jul 2012 17:30:37 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Wednesday, July 25, 2012 10:17:16 PM UTC-4, Jeremy Bailin wrote:

- > On 7/25/12 9:09 PM, Bogdanovist wrote:
- > > I have an array of a data structure, one tag of which is a string identifier indicating which location the data belongs to. There are many thousands of data points, but only about a dozen or so unique locations.
- > >
- > > I make frequent use of the HISTOGRAM function with the reverse_indices in order to carve up data by some identifier, most commonly the time. In this case, I want to divide out the data by site efficiently. I can't use HISTOGRAM on strings, so I need some other approach. There are plenty of ways this can be done, but I'd like some views on the better and most efficient ways to do it.
- > >
- > > Take an example, say we have a simple string array
- > &at:
- > > foo=['a','b','c& #39;,'b','b','a','a','a'
- > &qt;
- > > To determine the list of unique strings we could do
- > &at:
- > > sfoo = foo[sort(foo)]
- > > print,sfoo[uniq(sfoo)]
- > >
- > > We can then repeatedly use WHERE to find the indices in the data array(s) corresponding to each site.
- > &qt;
- > > Is there a quicker/better way to do this? Repeatedly calling WHERE seems inefficient (certainly HISTOGRAM is way faster when it is usable)
- > Use VALUE_LOCATE to find where in the list of unique indices the
- > elements belong to, and use that index as a number that you can run
- > HISTOGRAM on.

>

>

> (raise your hand everyone who saw that coming...)

>

> -Jeremy.

Not me. I had no idea VALUE_LOCATE works on strings. Now that is cool!