
Subject: Re: Efficiently perform histogram reverse indices like procedure on a string array?

Posted by [Jeremy Bailin](#) on Thu, 26 Jul 2012 02:17:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 7/25/12 9:09 PM, Bogdanovist wrote:

> I have an array of a data structure, one tag of which is a string identifier indicating which location the data belongs to. There are many thousands of data points, but only about a dozen or so unique locations.

>

> I make frequent use of the HISTOGRAM function with the reverse_indices in order to carve up data by some identifier, most commonly the time. In this case, I want to divide out the data by site efficiently. I can't use HISTOGRAM on strings, so I need some other approach. There are plenty of ways this can be done, but I'd like some views on the better and most efficient ways to do it.

>

> Take an example, say we have a simple string array

>

> `foo=['a','b','c','b','b','a','a','c']`

>

> To determine the list of unique strings we could do

>

> `sfoo = foo[sort(foo)]`

> `print,sfoo[uniq(sfoo)]`

>

> We can then repeatedly use WHERE to find the indices in the data array(s) corresponding to each site.

>

> Is there a quicker/better way to do this? Repeatedly calling WHERE seems inefficient (certainly HISTOGRAM is way faster when it is usable)

Use VALUE_LOCATE to find where in the list of unique indices the elements belong to, and use that index as a number that you can run HISTOGRAM on.

(raise your hand everyone who saw that coming...)

-Jeremy.
