

Alain writes:

> Here is my solution:

I'm feeling generous this morning, Alain, so I'll let you get away with the word "solution". At least for now. ;-)

```
> 1st step: define the size of the image in decimal degrees, by using your "magic" resolution
number. I guess that it might be retrieved by another way.
> centerlat = 40.6000d
> centerlon = -105.1000d
> res = 38.1757
> map = Map_Proj_Init('mercator', /gctp, ELLIPSOID='wgs 84')
> cm = map_proj_forward(centerlon, centerlat, MAP=map)
> xrange = cm[0] + [-300,300]*res
> yrange = cm[1] + [-300,300]*res
> xr = map_proj_inverse(xrange, yrange, MAP=map)
> print,xr
> ; -105.20288    40.521535
> ; -104.99712    40.678372
> As you can see, numbers are slightly different from yours (in latitude).
```

There are a couple of problems with this approach (other than it doesn't do exactly what I want). First, I have to get Map_Proj_Init involved, which the Map function is suppose to handle for me. I already know how to use Map_Proj_Init and believe me, you don't want to go through these contortions to use it! I use Map_Proj_Init (via cgMap, but it is a direct wrapper for it) in my Coyote Graphics solution. It works perfectly to navigate this image with the input data I have provided. This image is gridded in projected meter space, and Map_Proj_Init can work in projected meter space perfectly.

The second (and, I suspect, more fundamental) problem is that your solution requires me to work in lat/lon space rather than projected meter space. While it is true that I can work in this space with the Map function, and I can actually see my image with map annotations around it, the image is distorted because it has been warped into this map space. The distortion is only slight in this Mercator projection and over this map range, but if you look carefully at this image and the one created with the Coyote Graphics solution you can easily see the difference. The Google text, in particular, shows the obvious distortions.

Now, IDL has always had a bias toward warping the image to fit the map projection (I am approaching a dozen years of harping about this to them!) and working in lat/lon space. But, this is NOT the space the remote sensing community that I work with wants to work in. It makes no sense! It's like working in one of those fun-house rooms without a square corner. You get queasy just being in there! Every GeoTiff file in the world (I guess there are a few exceptions) works in projected meter space. Every satellite image on my computer works in projected meter space. I DON'T WANT TO WORK IN LAT/LON SPACE!!!

So, here is the problem with the friggin' function graphics system.

I can display an image. And I can set up a proper map projection with the Map_Proj_* routines. I just can't use the two together in a graphics window!

Does this strike you, as it does me, as ludicrous? Surely you and I and everyone else are missing the obvious solution. Is it possible that the function graphics system is not meant to be used by professional programmers?

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.idlcoyote.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")
