Subject: Re: Can't get out of define mode in ncdf, not specific to variable. Posted by neleh.mac on Mon, 19 Nov 2012 20:25:09 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

So someone here has just pointed out the solution and it is frustratingly simple. I just needed to add the /NETCDF4_FORMAT keyword when creating the file. Pah.

I can't quite believe how in the exuberant amount of googling I've been doing that I didn't find this.

Thomas for the our greations though . \

Н	4	Δ	ᆷ	n

nanks for the suggestions though :-)
Helen
On Monday, November 19, 2012 2:46:34 PM UTC-5, Helen Mac wrote: > Thanks for your suggestions. > >
> Ok, I tried Chip's suggestion of syncing, but I still run into the problem. >
> Paul, I am writing around 30 variables and have around 20 dimensions defined, so I don't think this is the problem.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
 However, the file I am writing will be just larger than 2Gb, so Fab this looks like the most likely candidate for the problem. However, I have read that if I am using netcdf 3.6 or higher it should be ok. (I am using netcdf 3.6.3 and IDL 8). Is there something else I'm missing with the setup? >
> FYI, I also tried writing and closing the file part way through so that it was opened up again and less than 2Gb was appended and written second time round, but I still encounter the error. >
> Still confused, if anyone has any more suggestions I'd really appreciate it :-) > >
> On Friday, November 16, 2012 10:35:45 PM UTC-5, Fab wrote:
> >> On 11/17/2012 12:25 AM, Paul van Delst wrote: >>

```
>>> How many variables are you writing? What version of IDL? What version of
>>
>>> netCDF?
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>>
>
>>
>
>> Also, before NCDF4 (7.1 patched and IDL8+), files could not be larger
>>
>> than 2Gb. If you attempt to define to many variables that will make the
>>
>> file larger than 2Gb (NCDF knows how big a file is going to be since you
>
>>
>> define the size of your variables first) this could also cause such an
>
>>
>
>> error...
>>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>>
>>
>
>>
>
```

```
>>> If you are writing lots of variables, there used to be a limit to the
>>
>>> total number (in older netcdf's at least. I think it was 300).
>>
>
>>>
>
>>
>>> Complete shot in the dark.....
>>
>
>>>
>
>>
>>>
>>
>>> On 11/15/12 17:57, neleh.mac wrote:
>
>>
>>>> So I am using IDL to read in ncdf data, and write out (to a new
>
>>
>>> file)
>>
>>>> the processed data. So I go to define mode, set up the variable, add
>>
>>> some attributes, switch to data mode, stick in the data, and then go
>>
>>> back to define for the next one.
>>
>
```

```
>>>>
>
>>
>>> e.g.
>>
          ncid = ncdf_create(file_name, /CLOBBER)
>>>>
>>
         londim = ncdf_dimdef(ncid, 'longitude_dim', 144)
>>>>
>>
         latdim = ncdf_dimdef(ncid, 'latitude_dim', 91)
>>>>
>
>>
>>>>
>>
         vid = ncdf_vardef(ncid, 'emission', [londim,latdim], /float)
>>>>
>
>>
         ncdf_attput, ncid, vid, 'long_name', "Some emissions"
>>>>
>
>>
>
          ncdf_control, ncid, /ENDEF
>>>>
>>
          ncdf_varput, ncid, vid, emiss_dat
>>>>
>>
>
         ncdf_control, ncid, /REDEF
>>>>
>>
>>>>
>
>>
>
```

```
vid = ncdf_vardef(ncid, 'emission2', [londim,latdim], /float)
>>>>
>
>>
>
          ncdf_attput, ncid, vid, 'long_name', "Some emissions 2"
>>>>
>>
>
          ncdf_control, ncid, /ENDEF
>>>>
>
>>
          ncdf_varput, ncid, vid, emiss_dat2
>>>>
>>
>
          ncdf_control, ncid, /REDEF
>>>>
>
>>
>>>>
>>
>
>>>>
          ... etc.
>
>>
>>>>
>
>>
>>> This works fine for several variables, over and over, until a certain
>>
>>> point in the code and then at it will not get out of define mode. I
>>
>>> commented out that particular part of the code where it was falling
>>
>>> over, and got it to work fine (but my output file now has one less
>>
>
```

```
>>>> variable).
>>
>>>>
>>
>>>> Now the weird part.
>>
>>>>
>
>>
>>>> If I take the chunk of code for the variable that it falls at, and
>>
>>> copy it back in further up the code, it works through it fine. It
>>
>>> only fails when it gets to that line again, this time on a variable
>>
>>>> it wrote fine first time round.
>>
>
>>>>
>>
>>>> It's like it's failing after going into define mode a certain number
>>
>>> of times, it's not specific to any variable I'm trying to write, it
>>
>>> just always fails on the nth one, regardless of what it is. I've
>>
>
```

```
>>> swopped round the order it writes them to the file, and they all get
>>
>>> written, just not if they happen to be at a certain point in the
>>
>>> order. I have tried removing attributes from the variables but this
>>
>>>> doesn't seem to have any effect. I am very confused by this, please
>>
>>>> can you help?
```