Subject: Re: Attempt to call undefined method: 'IDLITSYMBOL::IS3D' Posted by Mark Piper on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 16:54:35 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Thursday, December 13, 2012 7:23:33 AM UTC-7, Paul van Delst wrote:
  Re: the tickmark issue:
>
>
>
  In FG when I use [XY]ticklen = 1.0 and [XY]gridstyle=1 *all* the
>
  tickmarks are gridded, both major and minor. Try:
>
>
>
  IDL> p=plot(/test,layout=[1,3,1],$ &
> IDL>
            yticklen=1.0,ygridstyle=1,$ &
 IDL>
            xticklen=1.0,xgridstyle=1)
>
> My result:
   http://ftp.emc.ncep.noaa.gov/jcsda/CRTM/.plots/all_ticks_gri dded.png
>
>
  In DG only the major tickmarks were affected -- which is how the
  documentation describes the behaviour for both FG and DG.
>
>
  As you can imagine, having all the tickmarks gridded makes for a rather
  busy plot.
>
>
>
  Upon further reading of the docs I saw the [XY]subticklen keyword. I
  gave that a try, setting it to 0.05. But the minor tickmarks are *still*
>
> subject to the [XY]gridstyle keyword (I tend to use [XY]gridstyle=1,
>
```

```
dotted, for this).
>
>
> Try:
> IDL> p=plot(/test,layout=[1,3,1],$ &
            yticklen=1.0,ygridstyle=1,ysubticklen=0.05,$ &
> IDL>
>
            xticklen=1.0,xgridstyle=1,xsubticklen=0.05)
> IDL>
>
  My result:
   ftp://ftp.emc.ncep.noaa.gov/jcsda/CRTM/.plots/using_subtickl en.png
>
>
  So, as you can see, what I have are uneven length minor tickmarks. And
  they are still dotted due the gridstyle keyword.
>
>
  What I want is the equivalent of the following DG:
> IDL> !p,multi=[0,1,3]
  IDL> plot, lindgen(100),$ &
> IDL>
           yticklen=1.0,ygridstyle=1,$ &
> IDL>
           xticklen=1.0,xgridstyle=1
>
>
  (Actually, even there I would like the x- and y minor tickmarks to be
  the same *absolute*, not relative, length. But, that's a guibble.)
>
>
  The FG documentation clearly states that [XY]ticklen = 1.0 is for the
> *major* tickmarks only - so that behaviour is a definite bug.
>
```

```
>
>
  The [XY]gridstyle docs do not explicitly state that only the major ticks
  are affected, but that was the behaviour with DG so I expect that to be
>
  the behaviour for FG.
>
>
>
>
  You might want to consider adding some examples with these keywords set
  to the "Plot Examples" section of the docs. Maybe I am doing something
>
>
  wrong in FG-space, but after reading the docs I have nothing but my
>
  DG-experience to go by.
>
>
  cheers,
>
>
> paulv
Hi Paul,
I'll log this tick behavior as a bug. For a temporary (but not really convenient) fix, try using
CURRENT to overlay a second plot with the same data ranges:
  q1 = plot(/test, $
   yticklen=1.0, ygridstyle=1, ysubticklen=0.0, $
   xticklen=1.0, xgridstyle=1, xsubticklen=0.0)
```

Also, whenever you encounter a bug, please send it to Tech Support (support at exelisvis dot com); it's the best way to get this info to us.

mp

q2 = plot(/test, /nodata, /current)