Subject: Re: Select values from one array that match multiple values from an other array

Posted by Sir Loin Steak on Thu, 13 Dec 2012 21:55:28 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Thursday, December 13, 2012 9:51:38 PM UTC, Ij...@fsmail.net wrote:
> On Thursday, December 13, 2012 7:51:56 PM UTC, Ij...@fsmail.net wrote:
>
>> On Thursday, December 13, 2012 6:45:01 PM UTC, David Fanning wrote:
>
>>
>>> David Fanning writes:
>
>>
>
>>>
>
>>
>
>>>
>
>>
>
>>>
>
>>
>>>> Do you want a POSITIONS keyword for the SetDifference function?
>
>>
>
>>>
>
>>
>>>> If so, I think I'll leave that as an exercise for the reader.
>
>>
>
>>>
>
>>
>
>>>> No reason why I should be the only one working around here! :-)
>
>>
>
```

```
>>>
>
>>
>
>>>
>>
>
>>>
>
>>
>>> Oh, sorry. I see you *did* say SetDifference. I read it
>
>>
>
>>>
>
>>
>>> as SetIntersection. Duh!
>>
>
>>>
>
>>
>>>
>
>>
>
>>>
>
>>
>>> Well, I'll still leave it as an exercise for the reader,
>>
>
>>>
>
>>
>>> since I've already demonstrated how it can be done. It
>>
>
```

```
>>>
>
>>
>>> will probably take less than a minute. Send it to me
>>
>
>>>
>
>>
>>> when you are finished modifying it, and I'll publish
>
>>
>
>>>
>
>>
>>> it for you. :-)
>>
>
>>>
>
>>
>>>
>
>>
>
>>>
>
>>
>>> Cheers,
>>
>
>>>
>
>>
>
>>>
>
>>
>
```

```
>>>
>
>>
>>> David
>>
>
>>>
>
>>
>
>>>
>
>>
>
>>>
>
>>
>
>>>
>
>>
>
>>>
>
>>
>>> --
>
>>
>
>>>
>
>>
>>> David Fanning, Ph.D.
>>
>
>>>
>
>>
>>> Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
>
>>
>
```

```
>>>
>
>>
>>> Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.idlcoyote.com/
>>
>
>>>
>
>>
>>> Sepore ma de ni thue. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> Ha - fair enough! I'll have a look over it and see what needs doing. :)
>
>
>
>
> Right - I think I've got it sorted. I've added position and count keywords. I spent ages on the
indices stuff, then realised I didn't need them as I only wanted to know the elements in one array.
Not to worry though - I've always steered clear of the histogram function as it looks horrible, but
looking through the routine made me understand it a lot better. So, it wasn't time wasted!
>
>
>
> Anyhow, in case anyone wants a quick check the link to the file is below. I'm bound to have
done something wrong!
>
>
  http://www.physics.open.ac.uk/~lsteele/setdifference.pro
>
>
>
>
> Cheers,
>
```

>			
>			
			\sim

> Liam Steele

Just noticed that since I removed the indices stuff, the 'REVERSE_INDICES=rb' bit isn't needed anymore. I knew there'd be something wrong! :)