

---

Subject: Re: What are the rules for automatic removal of singleton dimensions, and can I have a way of disabling them, please?

Posted by [Jeremy Bailin](#) on Mon, 24 Dec 2012 04:25:56 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 12/23/12 6:01 PM, Tom Grydeland wrote:

> Hello all,

>

> I was trying to visualize subsections of a windowing function when this bit me.

>

> I was creating piecewise results in an array `res[ix, iy, ii, jj]`, where the partial results were sums up to some value in the final two indices

>

> for `ii = 0, Kx-1` do begin

>   for `jj = 0, Ky-1` do begin

>     visualize, total(total(`res[*,*;0:ii,0:jj]`), 4), 3)

>   endfor

> endfor

>

> but the problem is that IDL (arbitrarily, IMO) discards trailing singleton dimensions on my indexing, so that `res[*,*;0:ii,0:jj]` ends up as a two-dimensional array when both `ii` and `jj` are zero, and a three-dimensional index on subsequent cases of `jj` being zero, which again causes the calls to 'total' to fail. The innermost portion of these loops become extraordinarily messy if trying to fix this problem.

>

> I've fixed my program by reordering the indices (to `[ii, jj, ix, iy]`), but I am still miffed that this should be necessary.

>

> Similarly, when I concatenate arrays of dimensions `[x, j]` and `[y, j]`, I expect a result with dimensions `[x+y, j]`, even when `j` is equal to 1. I'm trying to write programs independent of the actual value of `j`, but these arbitrary removals of singleton dimensions make my task that much harder.

>

> Is there a way to disable this stripping of singleton dimensions?

>

> --Tom Grydeland

>

I would give my full support to having a `compile_opt` to do this (Chris?)... as David says, there's no way in hell that's ever going to be the default behaviour, but I would kill to not have to worry about these cases in some of my more dimension-juggling code!

-Jeremy.

---