
Subject: Re: Physical constants in IDL with !CONST
Posted by [Heinz Stege](#) on Thu, 20 Dec 2012 17:36:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thu, 20 Dec 2012 06:24:31 -0800 (PST), wlandsman wrote:

> I wonder if the constants should be !DtoR and !RtoD (or RADEG and DEGRA) to correct the
earlier inconsistency in the ancient history of IDL. I'm not sure about this, though. --Wayne
>

A lot of discussions for a little thing, that everyone can do her or
himself within a startup file.

However, I think Wayne is absolutly right.

A similar point is, that I wouldn't name the elementary charge "eV". I
know, there is a name conflict with the Euler's number. However the
Euler's number can simply be calculated by `number=exp(1d)`. Therefore I
suggest to simply remove it from the table.

Please realize, that most of the "constants" are from physics and
chemistry and the Euler's number is a mathematical number which never
will change. (You may argue, that pi also is a mathematical constant,
however it is needed [even though by definition] for the calculation
of the magnetic constant $\mu_0 = 4\pi \times 10^{-7}$)

The name "ev" for the elementary charge is confusing, because the
definition of the elementary charge constant has nothing to do with
the energy unit "electron volt". The reason for 1 eV being 1.602×10^{-19} J only reflects, that changing the potential of a charge e
about 1 V means an energy change of 1.602×10^{-19} J.

Thats what I wanted to say.

Cheers, Heinz
