

---

Subject: Re: interpolating flux

Posted by [Russell Ryan](#) on Thu, 24 Jan 2013 19:41:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I think you misunderstood Craig, if I can speak for him.

If you're working with a \*TRUE\* blackbody spectrum, then you know an exact analytic answer for the spectrum as a function of both temperature and wavelength. Why, then, do you want to interpolate? Instead of simply evaluating that \*KNOWN\* analytical expression at whatever temperatures and wavelengths you think are useful or compelling? For example, the `planck()` function in the `astro` library is probably what you want.

Russell

On Wednesday, January 23, 2013 3:26:03 PM UTC-5, idlhelp wrote:

> On Wednesday, January 23, 2013 5:15:12 PM UTC+1, Craig Markwardt wrote:

>

>> On Wednesday, January 23, 2013 7:06:51 AM UTC-5, idlhelp wrote:

>

>>

>

>>> Dear All,

>

>>

>

>>>

>

>>

>

>>> I have two black body spectra at different temperature i.e at 5000K and 5200K. Does anyone know How I can interpolate the flux of black body spectra between 5000K 5200K at a step of 50K.

>

>>

>

>>

>

>>

>

>> If it's a true black body spectrum, then consider that the spectrum can be computed exactly without interpolation.

>

>>

>

>> [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Planck%27s\\_law](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Planck%27s_law)

>

>>  
>  
>>  
>  
>>  
>  
>> If you really want to use interpolation, I would recommend computing the ALOG10(flux) of your gridded spectra, interpolating those log-values, then converting back to flux.  
>  
>>  
>  
>>  
>  
>>  
>  
>> Craig  
>  
>  
>  
> thanks Craig, I didn't you properly, but my question is that how can I interpolate flux of two different synthetic spectra between two different temperature for e.g 1000K and 200K at a step of 50K i.e i want to create a new synthetic spectra at temperature 150K

---