
Subject: Re: Row major / column major

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Thu, 31 Jan 2013 23:53:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

markjamie@gmail.com writes:

> I can hear you all sigh already.... I know... We've all been here before... but after all my reading I'm still utterly confused.

>

> I need to loop over the large dimension of a 3 x very large array, let's say 3 x 1E9. Sadly, given the operation I'm performing, there's no easy way to get round the loop using histogram etc.

>

> To improve the loop efficiency should the array be defined as:

>

> Myarray = intarr(3,1E9)

>

> Or

>

> Myarray = intarr(1E9,3)

>

> Does it matter at all? Have I got totally the wrong idea with this?

It matters. A LOT!

You have GOT to make sure your loop matches the order in which adjacent values are laid out in memory (which is row order). Transpose your array to make it a (1e9,3) array and loop over the three rows, handling all the values in the columns as you go. Outer loop: rows. Inner loop: columns.

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.idlcoyote.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thue. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")
