

---

Subject: Re: Interesting article in Nature

Posted by [Mark Piper](#) on Thu, 31 Jan 2013 15:15:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Wednesday, January 30, 2013 4:24:09 PM UTC-7, Paulo Penteado wrote:

> It is not everyday that choosing IDL over other languages gets  
>  
> discussed in Nature:  
>  
>  
>  
> "The algorithms to be incorporated were varied, and included codes for  
>  
> estimating snow coverage, grain size and absorption of solar radiation  
>  
> by dust and black carbon. They had been written in IDL, a specialized  
>  
> programming language used by many researchers. Geographers, remote  
>  
> sensing experts and software programmers contributed.  
>  
> Most computer scientists would assume that such a system would take  
>  
> years, not weeks, to develop. The algorithms would presumably have to  
>  
> be rewritten in a standard language such as C++, Java or Python, or  
>  
> one that could run on a fast computer system or infrastructure, such  
>  
> as Google's MapReduce model.  
>  
> But, in my experience, there is no need to rewrite scientific  
>  
> algorithms for bigdata systems. Rewriting only increases the barriers  
>  
> to communication between scientists and computer engineers. Rewriting  
>  
> can also introduce costly errors."  
>  
>  
>  
> From  
>  
>  
>  
> <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/493473a>

Thank you, Paulo, for linking to this article. I love to post articles such as this internally at VIS to

try to help people understand how and why IDL is important to us who use it.

mp

---