
Subject: Re: Storing !NULL in struct

Posted by [tom.grydeland](#) on Mon, 18 Mar 2013 12:40:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Monday, March 18, 2013 12:20:51 PM UTC, alx wrote:

>> Do you also understand why I referred to this as defeating the purpose of high-level data structures?

> No, I do'nt. I do not understand what you mean by "high-level" data structures.

Basically, LISTs and HASHes. These are capable of storing arbitrary values in each value slot, thereby giving you the opportunity to create arbitrarily complex nested structures. The problem is that values stored in these structures cannot easily be accessed and modified, which defeats the purpose of having these structures in the first place.

> As previously said, IDL structures are defined like C structures: each field being defined "by value". If you want more flexibility, you can (and you must) use pointers (each field is then defined "by reference").

This point has been made several times already, and in my reply to Chris Torrence, I granted that the reasons behind this decision is valid, even if it has a consequence that seems silly by itself.

What I was demonstrating in the message you replied to, however, is a different point. When a struct is stored in a LIST or a HASH, you cannot change its values, while a struct referred to by a pointer `_can_` be modified.

Consider this simple example (and before you suggest workarounds, please consider that I already said I understand how to work around these issues, `_AND_` that I'm deliberately constructing `_simple_` examples, so that the points stand out more clearly, but that the cases I intend to deal with are going to be much more involved, and nested much more deeply than what I'm showing you here):

```
IDL> .run
- pro modify, s
-   s.foo += 1
- end
% Compiled module: MODIFY.
IDL> s = {foo:0}
IDL> modify, s
IDL> help, s
** Structure <23d4ed8>, 1 tags, length=4, data length=4, refs=1:
   FOO          LONG          1
```

;; So a structure stored in a simple variable can be MODIFY'ed

```
IDL> p = ptr_new({foo:0})
IDL> modify, *p
```

```
IDL> help, *p
```

```
** Structure <23d4b08>, 1 tags, length=4, data length=4, refs=1:  
  FOO      LONG      1
```

;; Similarly, a structure stored behind a pointer can be MODIFY'ed

```
IDL> L = list({foo:0})
```

```
IDL> help, L[0]
```

```
** Structure <23ae368>, 1 tags, length=4, data length=4, refs=2:  
  FOO      LONG      0
```

```
IDL> modify, L[0]
```

```
IDL> help, L[0]
```

```
** Structure <23ae368>, 1 tags, length=4, data length=4, refs=2:  
  FOO      LONG      0
```

;; while a structure stored in a LIST _cannot_ be MODIFY'ed, and my example fails _without warning_.

Do you see _now_ what I mean by defeating the purpose?

> alx.

--T
