## Subject: Re: TOTAL() and NaNs, again Posted by Jeremy Bailin on Tue, 26 Mar 2013 14:30:30 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message On 3/25/13 5:30 PM, Fabien wrote: > Dear IDLers, > > I know my problems with NaNs do bother just my little person. Maybe I am > the only one having crappy data to deal with, or I am the only one using > NaNs to mask out things in my 3D data arrays... Anyways, I'll try to > make this is my last post about NaNs. > > I've been complaining lately about MEAN throwing a math error in this case: > IDL> array = [!VALUES.F\_NAN, !VALUES.F\_NAN] > IDL> print, MEAN(array, /NAN) > -NaN % Program caused arithmetic error: Floating illegal operand > I think, personally, that the result of mean in this case should be a > NaN, and that this should not throw a math error. Because, afterwards, > if I do: > IDL> print, (array[0] + array[1]) / 0. NaN > > > This is an ugly divide by zero but there is no math warning here. But > the problems comes from TOTAL: > IDL> print, TOTAL(array, /NAN) 0.00000 > And of course: > IDL> print, TOTAL(array, /NAN) / TOTAL(FINITE(array)) -NaN > > % Program caused arithmetic error: Floating illegal operand > > To be honest, this is described in the Doc: "Since the value NaN is > treated as missing data, if Array contains only NaN values the TOTAL > routine will return 0." > But I don't see why it is so. This is not coherent with what one would

Actually, this is exactly what I would expect TOTAL to do... when you use the /NAN flag, you are saying "ignore NaNs". If there are no non-NaN elements, then the total is zero.

> expect TOTAL to do: the sum of all the elements in the array...

Now I'd be willing to believe that the behaviour of MEAN in this case is funny.

>

-Jeremy.

Page 2 of 2 ---- Generated from comp.lang.idl-pvwave archive