Subject: Re: algorithm question. Can I get rid of the for loop? Posted by cgguido on Fri, 22 Mar 2013 17:01:08 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

What about using the fact that Median neglects NaNs?

```
Stick a NaN in 'Y' for every 'X' you are missing... then median it straight up?
G
On Thursday, March 21, 2013 4:32:15 PM UTC-5, Søren Frimann wrote:
> Hi All.
>
>
> I have an implementation of a hampel filter (see e.g.
http://exploringdatablog.blogspot.dk/2012/01/moving-window-f ilters-and-pracma.html) in IDL.
>
>
  My implementation looks like this:
>
>
>
  FUNCTION hampel, x, y, dx, THRESHOLD=threshold
>
  Compile_Opt idl2
>
>
  IF N_Elements(threshold) EQ 0 THEN $
   threshold = 3
>
>
>
>
  ;initialize arrays
  s0 = FltArr(N_Elements(y))
  y0 = FltArr(N_Elements(y))
> yy = y
```

```
>
>
 FOR i=0,N_Elements(y)-1 DO BEGIN
>
   index = Where((x GE x[i] - dx) AND (x LE x[i] + dx))
>
   y0[i] = Median(y[index]); Median filtering
>
   s0[i] = 1.4826*Median(Abs(y[index] - y0[i])) ;estimating uncertainty
  ENDFOR
>
  ol = Where(Abs(y - y0) GE threshold*s0); Index of outliers
  yy[ol] = y0[ol]
>
  result = Create_Struct('y',yy, $
               'sigma',s0)
>
  RETURN, result
>
 END
  >
  the filter runs a moving window of width 2*dx measured in the same units as x.
> x is generally not uniformly spaced (so there's not a constant number of points inside the
window as it moves).
  x and y can be quite long vectors so the filter takes a long time to run.
  Can anyone see any method for speeding the code up?
 Any help would be much appreciated!
>
>
```

> Cheers,

> Søren