Subject: Re: structure length in files
Posted by David Fanning on Tue, 18 Jun 2013 15:31:28 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Helder writes:

>

> [Short summary: When IDL writes structures in unformatted binary data files, what size will the resulting file have, that described by n_tags(Example1,/LENGTH) or n_tags(Example1,/DATA_LENGTH)?]

> I was just looking at the length/size of a structure. I'm reading data from a file and using structures looking like this:

```
>
  Example1 = {Field1: 0
                               ,$; Integer, 2 byte
>
                         ,$; Integer, 2 byte
          Field2: 0
>
                         ,$; Integer, 2 byte
>
          Field3: 0
                         ,$; Integer, 2 byte
          Field4: 0
>
          Field5: 0ULL
                           } ; Unsigned Long64, 8 byte
>
>
  Example2 = {Field1: 0
                               ,$; Integer, 2 byte
          Field2: 0
                         ,$; Integer, 2 byte
>
          Field3: 0
                         ,$; Integer, 2 byte
>
                         ,$; Integer, 2 byte
          Field4: 0
>
                           ,$; Unsigned Long64, 8 byte
          Field5: 0ULL
>
                         }; Integer, 2 byte
>
          Field6: 0
>
> When I look at it using the help command with /structure, I get:
 IDL> help, example1, example2, /struct
>
  ** Structure <edbf6a0>, 5 tags, length=16, data length=16, refs=1:
  ** Structure <104d4de0>, 6 tags, length=24, data length=18, refs=1:
> ...
```

- > What is bothering me is that the addition of a field in the second structure results in an increased length (24 bytes) for a data length of 18 bytes. In the first example, the length is the same as the "data length". [the origin of this is probably filling the structure up to multiples of 8 bytes...]
- > The same results can be obtained using n_tags with the /length and data_length keywords.
- > So, reading the n_tags documentation, it seems like the Data_length is constant (machine independent) and the length is machine dependent (as in 32-64 bit and other things).
- > However, my question:
- > I noticed that when I read structures, the sizes are the expected "data_length" (machine independent). When IDL writes, what size will I have to expect: "length" or "data_length"?

Donno. Why don't you write one and find out? You can check the file size

with FSTAT.
Cheers,
David
David Fanning, Ph.D. Fanning Software Consulting, Inc. Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.idlcoyote.com/ Sepore ma de ni thue. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")