
Subject: Re: replace integration by summation

Posted by [fd_luni](#) on Wed, 19 Jun 2013 12:55:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wednesday, 19 June 2013 13:08:17 UTC+1, Paul van Delst wrote:

> Hmm... an all-zero result is typically an indication of user error (it's pretty difficult to get a bunch of numbers to add up to zero). What about if, instead of `A2= INT_TABULATED(t[0:i], A1[0:i])` you do `A2= INT_TABULATED(t, A1)` ? What do you get? In your original post you don't use bounds in the `TOTAL()` example, so may as well do the same in the `INT_TABULATED()` one.

> I actually have a loop

For i=1,n-1 do begin

A1[i]= INT_TABULATED(t[0:i], A2[0:i])

endfor

When I use `A2= INT_TABULATED(t, A1)` I got a single value. I need an array that is why I used `A1 = (t[1]-t[0])*total(A2,/cumulative)`

I am actually try to avoid the loop and replace it by something else. For this reason I used the `A1 = (t[1]-t[0])*total(A2,/cumulative)`.
