
Subject: Re: replace integration by summation

Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Wed, 19 Jun 2013 16:29:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wednesday, June 19, 2013 8:55:37 AM UTC-4, fd_...@mail.com wrote:

> On Wednesday, 19 June 2013 13:08:17 UTC+1, Paul van Delst wrote:

>

>> Hmm... an all-zero result is typically an indication of user error (it's pretty difficult to get a bunch of numbers to add up to zero). What about if, instead of `A2= INT_TABULATED(t[0:i], A1[0:i])` you do `A2= INT_TABULATED(t, A1)` ? What do you get? In your original post you don't use bounds in the `TOTAL()` example, so may as well do the same in the `INT_TABULATED()` one.

>

>

>

>> I actually have a loop

>

> For i=1,n-1 do begin

>

> `A1[i]= INT_TABULATED(t[0:i], A2[0:i])`

>

> endfor

>

> When I use `A2= INT_TABULATED(t, A1)` I got a single value. I need an array that is why I used

>

> `A1 = (t[1]-t[0])*total(A2,/cumulative)`

>

> I am actually try to avoid the loop and replace it by something else. For this reason I used the `A1 = (t[1]-t[0])*total(A2,/cumulative)`.

But when you took Mats's suggestion and computed `INT_TABULATED(t,A1)`, was the single value zero or not?

Craig
