
Subject: Re: IDL 8.2.2 direct graphics output to PDF?
Posted by [Andy Sayer](#) on Wed, 26 Jun 2013 16:33:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Well, when I say "can't easily be replaced" I also have an implicit "within the timeframe I have to accomplish this task"--if only I could go back to the regular machine I use today, where it Just Works. Unfortunately the older I get the more nonsense I realise there is we have to deal with.

Writing output to ps rather than eps (and then converting either with epstopdf or ps2pdf) give the same problem.

The exact same code, with IDL 7.1 and a different version of epstopdf on a different computer, works perfectly. It is just this system that I am stuck with right now where it doesn't. So I am looking for an alternative way to programmatically do this conversion (it's also not just one file but a whole bunch).

Thanks,

Andy

On Wednesday, June 26, 2013 12:25:25 PM UTC-4, David Fanning wrote:

> AMS writes:

>
>
>

>> The plotting routines we're using are heavily customised and can't easily be replaced with Coyote Graphics equivalents. So I can't use Coyote Graphics for this purpose.

>
>
>

> Humm. This sounds like...well, nonsense to me. :-)

>
>
>

>> I did try writing to eps and then using cgps2pdf on the output but that did the similar mangling of the plots (probably because it was using the same converter in the innards).

>
>
>

> The mangling was probably done by the encapsulated PostScript format.

>
> What happens if you just use PostScript output?
>

>
>
>

> Cheers,

>
>

>
> David
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --
>
> David Fanning, Ph.D.
>
> Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
>
> Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.idlcoyote.com/>
>
> Sepore ma de ni thue. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")
