Subject: Re: Drawing vector fields with New Graphics Posted by Gordon Farquharson on Tue, 02 Jul 2013 17:54:36 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Philip

>

On Tuesday, July 2, 2013 7:31:46 AM UTC-7, Phillip Bitzer wrote:

> This doesn't help with your immediate problem, but part of the reason v1 appears smaller is your axis scale. See what I mean with these two commands:

> This is because of what (relative to the vector) the x,y locations refer to. The default is the middle of the arrow. (You could also try v1.data_location=0 / v2.data_location=0 to "see" the same thing.)

Ah! Good point. I picked bad example vectors for the displayed axis range.

- > This note in the help scares me:
- > Note: The units for the U and V components are arbitrary, and are not related to the units of the X and Y coordinates. The VECTOR function will compute a default length scale and head size based upon the overall number of vectors and the average magnitude of the vectors. The LENGTH_SCALE and HEAD_SCALE properties may be used to change the default size.

I'm not so scared by this note. The length of a vector in the vector field doesn't have anything to do with the spatial coordinates in which the vector field is plotted. One typically scales vector lengths to make the vector field pretty (easy to read). As I understand it, this automatic scaling is what the vector routine is doing quite conveniently, so I can see that this automatic scaling is useful for a single vector field because it makes the vector field fit in the area nicely. But the second vector field should use the same scaling factor, or at least, there should be an option to reuse the scaling factor computed form the first vector field.

> From my reading of this note, it looks like you could find the average magnitude of the vectors in v1, which sets the default scale, and then scale the others (v2, etc) accordingly relative to this value.

Did you mean something like:

PRO test_vector

$$x = [0.,1.,2.]$$

```
y = [0.,0.,0.]
vx = [1.,1.,1.]
vy = [1.,1.,1.]
vmag = mean(sqrt(vx^2 + vy^2))
v1 = vector(vx, vy, x, y, $
       XTITLE='X', YTITLE='Y', $
       XRANGE=[-1.,4.], YRANGE=[-1.,4.])
v1.arrow thick = 2
v1.length_scale = 2
x = [1.,2.]
y = [1.,1.]
vx = [-0.5, -0.5]
vy = [-0.5, -0.5]
vx /= vmag
vy /= vmag
v2 = vector(vx, vy, x, y, $
       /OVERPLOT, XRANGE=[-1.,4.], YRANGE=[-1,4.])
v2.arrow_thick = 2
v2.length\_scale = 2
```

END

This version produces vectors in v1 and v2 that are the same length! The scaling does not seemed to be maintained between calls to vector.

Exelis: is this something that can be fixed easily and released as an out of band patch? It is really frustrating and significantly reduces the usefulness of the vector routine. (I'm trying to be a good IDL citizen, and give the new graphics a chance, but I do have a copy of David's graphics book on my bookshelf...)

Gordon